UNCLASSIFIED

UCCS CyberRecon Project

TEMPS: A Framework for Quantifying Cyber Operations Success against Adversarial Threats

Students:

Kora Gwartney, Ekzhin Ear, Jose Luis Castanon Remy, Samuel Oglegba, and Graeme Slack

Academic Advisor:

Professor Dr. Shouhuai Xu

USCYBERCOM Mentors:

LTC Steve Gerber (Ret) (J2 Intelligence) Mr. John Scully (J5/Cyberspace Planning and Policy)

Presentation POC: Ekzhin Ear - eear@uccs.edu

University of Colorado Colorado Springs



USCYBERCOM CyberRecon Questions



(inspired the present project)



Problem Statement: How can we enable USCYBERCOM staff and key leaders in their planning of defensive cyberspace operations through a quantitative risk-informed approach?

Rationale: One solution resolves both questions.







Our Solution Concept: TEMPS Framework



USCYBERCOM Planning and Execution



*Illustration colors for emphasis; no additional meanings



TEMPS core: multi-dimensional/

inter-disciplinary impact metrics and analysis:

- T: Technological
- EM: Economic
- P: Political impact
- ✤ S: Societal impact

e.g., informs Persistent Engagement and Defend Forward ops

Note: TEMPS extends beyond PMESII-PT

TEMPS objective:

Quantify TEMPS impacts of USCYBERCOM cyber operations to <u>enhance CDR decision-making</u> through increased *timeliness* and *validity*

TEMPS proof-of-concept via notional case study:

USCYBERCOM conducts DCO ISO USEUCOM's kinetic operations in Ukraine (next slide)



A Specific Instantiation of the TEMPS Framework



Key Idea - Uses TEMPS metrics: *measures* technological, economic, political, and societal *risks* per cyber operation via simulation; *characterizes* mission risks; *enhances* staff's COA Dev, Analysis & Wargaming, and Comparison; *informs* CDR's COA Approval decision (see paper for details)

*Illustration colors for emphasis and corresponds to TEMPS framework colors; no additional meanings



University of Colorado

TEMPS Framework



An Example Test Case





AT = Attack Technique

Deep dive into the Orientation (analysis) phase: Simulation using Monte Carlo of *potential attacker paths* via red tactics and techniques across areas of influence *in blue cyber terrain*



*Illustration colors for emphasis except as noted for Likelihood; no additional meanings





TEMPS Aims to Answer for USCYBERCOM:



(sample questions)

- How many zero-day exploits must the adversary employ to defeat a CPT DCO mission ISO a multi-domain operation?
- How many *exquisite cyber capabilities* (e.g., zero-day exploits, classified malware signatures) must CNMF/JFHQ-C/JFHQ-DODIN employ in a particular cyber operation to attain mission assurance greater than 90% probability?
- What cyber operations and capabilities must USCYBERCOM employ to ensure an economic consequence (i.e., negative impact) of a Hunt Forward operation is below the predetermined threshold with a greater than 90% probability?
 - Likewise, for a predetermined *political consequence* threshold
- What would be the societal consequences from USCYBERCOM's decision to not conduct
 - a CNMF NMT operation to thwart adversarial influence operations on U.S. citizens?

The TEMPS Framework and the Way Forward



Problem: Enable USCYBERCOM defensive cyberspace operations planning through

a quantitative risk-informed approach

Progress:

○ A proof-of-concept TEMPS tool

Next Steps:

- Systematic and comprehensive metrics development
- Observation and Orientation process development
- $\circ~$ TEMPS software implementation

(see paper for more information)



UNCLASSIFIED

Thank you!

Presentation POC: Ekzhin Ear - eear@uccs.edu



University of Colorado Colorado Springs



